

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/687,046	10/15/2003	Joseph W. St. Geme III	A-59941-4 (467084-68)	7511	
7590 03/16/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Richard F. Trecartin			GRASER, JENNIFER E		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Suite 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Four Embarcadero Center			1645		
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/687,046	ST. GEME, JOSEPH W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Jennifer E. Graser	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 2004.					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7 and 17-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,5-7 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	ndodtvi undor 35 11 C.O. S 440(c)	(d) or (f)				
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical statements 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive n (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/687,046

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Acknowledgment and entry of the Amendment submitted on 12/13/04 is made.

Claims 3-7 and 17-20 are currently pending.

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the new Drawings submitted on 2/11/04 have necessitated changes that need to be made to the "Brief Descriptions of Drawings". The Figure numbers on the drawings no longer match the Figure numbers recited in the specification, e.g., Figure 6A-C' is now 'Figure 6A-F', Figure 7A-H' is now 'Figure 7A-J', etc. Figures 6, 7, 11, 12, 16, 18, 20, 22 and 24 are affected.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "A recombinant nucleic acid encoding a *Haemophilus* adhesion and penetration protein comprising DNA having a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID Nos: 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16", does not reasonably provide enablement for "A recombinant nucleic acid encoding a

Art Unit: 1645

Haemophilus adhesion and penetration protein comprising DNA having a nucleic acid at least 80% (90, 95 or 98%) identical to a sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID Nos: 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The breadth of the instant claims is drawn to polynucleotides which are not specified in the sequence disclosure. The specification states that substitutions, additions, or deletions may be made to the defined sequences; however, the specification provides no guidance as to what nucleic acids may be changed without causing a detrimental effect to the adhesion and penetration protein to be produced. Further, it is unpredictable as to which amino acids could be removed and which could be added. While it is known that many amino acid substitutions are possible in any given protein, the position within the protein's sequence where amino acid substitutions can be made with a reasonable expectation of success are limited. Other positions are critical to the protein's structure/function relationship, e.g., such as various positions or regions directly involved in binding, catalysis in providing the correct three-dimensional spatial orientation of binding and catalytic sites. These regions can tolerate only very little or no substitutions. To start with the DNA sequence first, this requires even more work on the part of the skilled artisan.

The instant claims are drawn to nucleic acids comprising a sequence with a given percent similarity to a nucleic acid which encodes a protein. Selective point mutation to one key residue could eliminate the function of the polypeptide. It could

Art Unit: 1645

eliminate its adhesion and penetration properties. If the range of decreased binding ability after single point mutation of a protein antigen varies, one could expect point mutations in the protein antigen to cause varying degrees of loss of protection/function, depending on the relative importance to the binding interaction of the altered residue. Alternatively, the combined effects of multiple changes in an antigenic determinant could again result in loss of function. A protein having multiple antigenic sites, multiple point mutations, or accumulated point mutations at key residues could create a new antigen that is precipitously or progressively unrecognizable by any of the antibodies in the polyclonal pool. As stated above, Applicants have not shown which nucleotides may be changed without causing a detrimental effect to the protein in which it encodes. The claims allow for as great as 20% variation. Applicants have provide no guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art how to determine, without undue experimentation, the effects of different nucleotide substitutions and the nature and extent of the changes that can be made. It is expensive and time consuming to make amino acid substitutions at more than one position, in a particular region of the protein, in view of the many fold possibilities for change in structure and the uncertainty as to what utility will be possessed. See Mikayama et al. (Nov.1993. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA, vol. 90 : 10056-10060) which teaches that the three-dimensional structure of molecules is important for their biological function and even a single amino acid difference may account for markedly different biological activities. Rudinger et al. (June 1976. Peptide Hormones. Biol.Council. pages 5-7) also teaches that amino acids owe their 'significance' to their inclusion in a pattern which is directly involved in recognition by, and binding to, the

Application/Control Number: 10/687,046

Art Unit: 1645

receptor and the significance of the particular amino acids and sequences for different amino acids cannot be predicted *a priori*, but must be determined from case to case by painstaking experimental study. Given the lack of guidance contained in the specification regarding acceptable nucleotide substitutions, additions or deletions, one of skill in the art could not make or use the broadly claimed invention without undue experimentation. While nucleic acid sequences which vary from the known sequences and still encode an adhesion and penetration proteins, sequences which vary by a given percent homology and can successfully detect *H.influenzae* through the hybridization conditions set forth in claim 3, provided there is written support in the specification for such claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 should recite "full-length' complement" and not just 'complement' in line 3 of claim 3. A 'complement' reads on as few as a single amino acid which is clearly not what is intended based on the teachings of the specification. The claim should be amended to avoid ambiguity.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 4 is allowed. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Claims 5-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

Art Unit: 1645

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1645. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Remsen. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15,1989). The Group 1645 Fax number is 571-273-8300 which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Graser whose telephone number is (571) 272-0858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached on (571) 272-0864.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,046

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0500.

Jennifer Graser

Page 7

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1645